IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

Plaintiff,

4:25CR3023

VS.

ENRIQUE MARTINEZ and MANUEL ENRIQUE CORONA-RAMIREZ,

ORDER

Defendant.

A telephone status conference was held today. Defendant Martinez has moved to continue the pretrial motion deadline and status conference (Filing No. 165), because Defendant needs additional time to review discovery and, further, to consider and decide whether to enter a guilty plea or go to trial. The motion to continue is unopposed by the government. Co-defendant Corona-Ramirez did not join in the motion. However, his case is joined with his co-defendants' cases for trial. Based on the showing set forth in the motion and comments of counsel during the telephone conference, the court finds good cause has been shown and the motion should be granted. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED:

- 1) Pretrial motions and briefs as to defendant Martinez shall be filed on or before September 19, 2025.
- 2) A telephonic conference with counsel will be held before the undersigned magistrate judge at 9:00 a.m. on September 22, 2025 to discuss setting any change of plea hearing or the date of the jury trial. Counsel for the parties shall use the conferencing instructions provided by the court to participate in the call.
- In accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(6), and (h)(7)(A), the court finds that the ends of justice will be served by granting this continuance and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial. Any additional time arising as a result of the granting of this motion, that is, the time between today's date and on September 22, 2025, shall be deemed excludable time in any computation of time under the requirements of the Speedy Trial Act. Failure to grant a continuance would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(6), and (h)(7)(A) & (B)(iv). Failing to timely object to this order as provided under this court's local rules will be deemed a waiver of any right to later claim the time should not have been excluded under the Speedy Trial Act.

Dated this 19th day of August, 2025.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Jacqueline M. DeLuca
United States Magistrate Judge